

21-23 Mann Street Gosford SEPP 65 Compliance Statement ADDITIONAL COMMENTS



Prepared to accompany the Development Application submitted for;

Residential Development 21- 23 Mann Street, Gosford. For Pinnacle Construction group.

Verification of Qualifications

Caine King and Stuart Campbell are registered as Architects in New South Wales and are enrolled in the Division of Chartered Architects in the register of Architects pursuant to the Architect Act 1921. Their registration Numbers are 7974 and 7574 respectfully.

Statement of Design

CKDS Architecture have been responsible for the design of the project since its inception and have worked with related professionals and experts in respect of the matter. The project has been designed to provide a development that is respectful of local planning and design controls and that responds to the best practice design principles of SEPP No. 65.

CKDS Architecture verify that the design quality principles set out in Part 2 of State Environmental Planning Policy No. 65 – Design Quality of Residential Flat Development are achieved for the proposed residential development as stated below.



Part 1 – Local Context

Primary Development Controls

planting, where contextual and side conditions allow.

Building Separation	Proposed Development	Compliance
 To ensure that new development is scaled to support character with appropriate massing and spaces between 		
To provide visual and acoustic privacy for existing and	d new residents. been located within current required setbacks. The proposed development provided design strategies to maintain privacy for both buildings.	•
 To control overshadowing of adjacent properties and open space. 	The Building Separation has been based on the location of the Ap	pproved DA at
 To allow for the provision of open space with appropriate proportion for recreational activities for building occupants. 	proposed building fails within in this zone. Z units on 3 levels are	3,800 within the
 To provide deep soil zones for stormwater management 	ent and tree have been angled to face west, and away from the Approved DA	at 17 Mann

Street.

the site area.

for the growth of large trees.

Deep soil areas feature across the entire site, achieving a minimum of 15% of

Deep soil zone is made up from a 6M wide zone on the southern boundary (no

building under) This equates to approximately 10% of the site area.



Part 2 – Site Design

Site Configuration



Site Amenity



Site Access



Part 3 - Building Design

Building Configuration



Building Amenity



Building Form



Compliance Numerical Requirements Density / Building Form / **Site Cover Controls** (Gosford LEP 2014 / DCP 2013)

Control	LEP/DCP Standard	Proposed Development	Compliance	Variation
Street Setback / Building Alignment	Henry Parry Drive 5 - 6M	Building setback varies		The proposed building has been angled away
		between 4.79 to 13.6m from		from the existing boundary lines to gain improved solar access to the apartments. To achieve this, the south east corner falls within the setback area, however, most of the eastern face of the building exceeds the required setback.
		the boundary. Approximately		
		12.5% of the building		
		encroaches into the setback zone.		
Maximum Floor Plate Size	750 sqm > 16m	1270sqm @ L6		As there are no habitable rooms to the east and
		880 sqm @ L7-15	nort beer	vest of the site, and more than 18M from the northern boundary, the floor plate at low level has been widened to enable adequate car parking and community space
Maximum Building Depth (Excluding Balconies)	24M	35m max. depth east to west.		Maximum width exceeded due to the effect of the "square" proportions of the existing site.
Minimum Boundary Setback	Front - Street Setback	North: Compliant	Yes	Proposed Development
Residential Use; <12m	Side - 3M Non Habitable	South: Compliant		Complies
	Rooms Side - 6M Habitable Rooms Rear - 6M	Rear: Compliant		
Minimum Boundary setback Residential Use: 12-24M	Front - Street Setback Side - 4.5M Non Habitable	North: Compliant	Yes	Proposed Development Complies
		South: Compliant		



		Rooms Side - 9M Habitable Rooms Rear - 6M Non Habitable Rooms Rear - 9M Habitable Rooms	Rear: Compliant		
	Minimum Boundary Setback Residential Use:>24m	Front - 8M Side - 13M Rear – 13 M	North: Compliant South: 10.1m from boundary min. 73.3% of building		South Setbacks encroached due to main portion of the building located to maximise adjoining properties view corridors.
			setback zone.		
			Rear: Compliant		
			•	Maximum Site Coverage	75% (75%)
					The maximum Site Coverage is based on the Zoning of the site which is Mixed Use
•	Minimum Deep Soil Coverage	15%	15.04%	Yes	Proposed Development Complies
					Deep soil zone is made up from a 6M wide zone on

Deep soil zone is made up from a 6M wide zone on the southern boundary (no building under) This equates to approximately 10% of the site area.

The remaining 5% is located at north east corner of the site. Part of this area is over the basement car park, however there is a minimum of 3M soil from Ground level to top of car park. Our landscape Architect has advised that this is sufficient for the growth of large trees.